

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,914	01/25/2002	Kevin Lloyd Grimes	PU020028	3756
759	90 05/09/2006		EXAM	INER
JOSEPH S. TRIPOLI			YENKE, BRIAN P	
THOMSON MULTIMEDIA LICENSING INC. 2 INDEPENDENCE WAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. BOX 5312 PRINCETON, NJ 08543-5312			2622	<del></del>
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/056,914	GRIMES ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		BRIAN P. YENKE	2622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>American</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.  nce except for formal matters, pro-				
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)     6)     7)     8)     4pplicati   9)     10)	Claim(s) 1-6,9-17,19 and 21-31 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-6,9-17,19 and 21-31 is/are rejected Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a confident may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	vn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
		armirer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some colon None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	· ·			

Application/Control Number: 10/056,914 Page 2

Art Unit: 2622

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Upon further review/updated search, the examiner is providing a new Non-Final Rejection, in lieu of previously indicated allowable claims. Any inconvenience caused to the applicant is regretted. Regarding newly added claims 32-33, the examiner has not included these into the rejection below by rejecting claims 1-6, 9-17,19 and 21-31 (in lieu of providing a restriction), since the subject matter is patentably distinct from the other pending claims. The newly added claims recite displaying a corrective image during a time period manually schedule by a user, whereas claims 1-31 recite determining/tracking based upon users viewing habits. If the applicant deems that these are not patentable distinct inventions, the examiner would like the applicant to clarify so on the record.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6, 9-17, 19 and 21-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hicks, US 6,429,894 in view of Takase et al., US 6,504,534 and Rosser, US 6,446,261.

In considering claims 1, 5, 9-10, 16-17, 21, and 30-31

a)-b) the claimed identifying active display elements... is met by CRT aging indicators which may include an unequalized CRT burn time (col 2, line 61 to col 3, line 49)

Art Unit: 2622

c) the claimed detecting when the display unit is turned off is met by microcomputer 34 (Fig 2) which monitors when the television is in the off-state (block 50) and whether the television has been placed in the on-state by monitoring a power-on control signal (col 9k, line 52-67).

e) the claimed displaying a corrective image on the identified non-active display elements... is met where microcomputer 34 directs the video pattern generator 32 to generate the internal video signal (Sint) which is used to equalize the burn-in rates of the entire region of the display screen (col 12, line 13-29).

However, Hicks does not explicitly recite determining if the display is going to remain off for an extended time period.

Although, the determining if a display will remain off is conventional, the examiner nonetheless incorporates Takase which discloses that based upon the length of the state determines whether to operate a screen saver (i.e. no video signal present) and also conserve the CRT by turning off the power circuit.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hicks which discloses maintaining an even burn-in on a display based upon the type of signal received, by also, determining if the display is going to be off for a period of time (extended time period) in order to conserve the CRT by displaying a screen saver and turning of the power circuit when no video signal is present for a period of time.

However, the combination of Hicks/Takase does not explicitly recite tracking a user's viewing habits...as claimed.

The practice/concept of tracking a user's viewing habits and storing the times of on/off are conventional in the art as evidenced by Rosser which discloses tracking a user's viewing

Application/Control Number: 10/056,914

Art Unit: 2622

habits and storing the relevant data (i.e. type of program watched, time of day, day of week, duration of program...) which may be used to provided customized user burn-ins (col 5, line 8-25; col 7, line 21-45; col 8, line 11-19; col 9, line 8-16; col 9, line 49-63; col 10, line 60-65; col 11, line 26-61; col 12, line 63 to col 13, line 12; col 14, line 41-47; col 15, line 13-28.

In considering claims 2-4,

Hicks discloses a system which detects an external video signal (Sext), where microcomputer 34 can determine the aspect ratio of the external video signal by comparing for each video field the active video versus total video for a horizontal line and/or a number of lines (col 5, line 61 to col 6, line 13). The determination/detection can also be performed by detecting a signal aspect ratio indicator encoded within the external video signal. Based upon the type of display used (i.e. 4:3 or 16:9 aspect ratio), would determine whether a received signal was a standard external video signal (Sext) (i.e. a received 4:3 signal on 4:3 display) or non-standard external video signal (Sext-ntsd) (i.e. a received 16:9 signal on a 4:3 display). Hicks discloses that based upon the type of display used would determine whether a 4:3 or 16:9 signal would be a standard or a non-standard external signal. Hicks discloses that if a received signal is standard (i.e. aspect-ratio matches that of the display) then an un-even burn-in rate of the CRT will not occur, or non-standard which means that an un-even burn-in rate will occur.

In considering claims 6, 11-13, 19 and 22-24,

Regarding the predetermined time period set by a user. Hicks discloses a system, which includes the receipt of analog/digital broadcast signals, along with other signal sources such as a VCR and DVD player (col 5, line 25-35).

Application/Control Number: 10/056,914

Art Unit: 2622

As stated above with respect to claim 1, when the system is turned off, the system computes, the burn-in rates of the display and the amount of time needed to correct for an uneven burn-in rate display.

It is also known that systems can employ user programmable settings, i.e. record, payper-view, display certain programs based upon a preselected/predetermined selection, in addition
to users viewing habits. Therefore, the examiner takes "OFFICIAL NOTICE" regarding a
system which allows a user to program a time (predetermined) which is set by the user, thus
giving the user full control/functionality of the viewing system.

Based upon applicant's traversal, the incorporated Rosser (US 6,446,261) discloses a system which allows a viewer to record video as done conventionally or to grab pieces of data (i.e. last 5 minutes of a sports game).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hicks and Takase which discloses a system which allows a user to view multiple sources, where Hicks corrects for displayed sources that create uneven burn-in rates, by providing the user the ability to set when programs are viewed/recorded as evidenced by Rosser in order to provide the user an enhanced/controllable viewing experience.

In considering claims 14-15 and 25-26,

Hicks does not disclose the method/sequence in displaying the corrective image relating to the luminance levels (i.e. 15, 30 and 60).

However, it is notoriously well known in the art that brightness/luminance levels typically are gain actuated where a display will increase from a dark state to a brighter state, where some systems employ manual and/or automatic control of the desired settings.

Art Unit: 2622

Thus regardless of the sequencing of the increase, whether in increments of 5 IRE, 10 IRE or doubling as claimed is dependent upon the type of display, preset/user adjustable settings and therefore bears no patentable weight, since the end result (a display with a predetermined luminance level) is the same, and thus derives no unexpected results.

In considering claims 28-29,

Rosser discloses that the customized burn-in may include/show statistics, showing football and baseball statistics, thus the broadest interpretation of a text message is met.

## **Conclusion**

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian Yenke whose telephone number is (571)272-7359. The examiner work schedule is Monday-Thursday, 0730-1830 hrs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, David L. Ometz, can be reached at (571)272-7593.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571)-273-8300

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is

(703)305-HELP.

General information about patents, trademarks, products and services offered by the United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO), and other related information is available by contacting the USPTO's General Information Services Division at:

800-PTO-9199 or 703-308-HELP

(FAX) 703-305-7786

(TDD) 703-305-7785

An automated message system is available 7 days a week, 24 hours a day providing informational responses to frequently asked questions and the ability to order certain documents. Customer service representatives are available to answer questions, send materials or connect customers with other offices of the USPTO from 8:30 a.m. - 8:00p.m. EST/EDT, Monday-Friday excluding federal holidays.

For other technical patent information needs, the Patent Assistance Center can be reached through customer service representatives at the above numbers, Monday through Friday (except federal holidays) from 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST/EDT.

The Patent Electronic Business Center (EBC) allows USPTO customers to retrieve data, check the status of pending actions, and submit information and applications. The tools currently available in the Patent EBC are Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) and the Electronic Filing System (EFS).

Art Unit: 2622

PAIR (http://pair.uspto.gov) provides customers direct secure access to their own patent application status information, as well as to general patent information publicly available. EFS allows customers to electronically file patent application documents securely via the Internet. EFS is a system for submitting new utility patent applications and pre-grant publication submissions in electronic publication-ready form. EFS includes software to help customers prepare submissions in extensible Markup Language (XML) format and to assemble the various parts of the application as an electronic submission package. EFS also allows the submission of Computer Readable Format (CRF) sequence listings for pending biotechnology patent applications, which were filed in paper form.

B.P.Y 04 May 2006 BRIAN P. YENKE PRIMARY EXAMINE